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Abstract

This study investigated the use of remote sensing and GIS in evaluating the impacts of human settlement on land use /Land cover changes. The study also investigated the drivers behind the change in the middle of River Njoro sub watershed for a period of 27 years. Drivers of land use change were captured by the use of DPSIR model where Drivers (D) represented human needs, Pressures (P), human activities, State (S), the ecosystem, Impact (I) services from the ecosystem and Response (R), the decisions taken by land users. Land sat MSS and Land sat ETM+ (path 185, row 31) were used in this study. The Land sat ETM+ image (June 1987, May, 2000 and July, 2014) was downloaded from USGS Earth Resources Observation Systems data website. Remote sensing image processing was performed by using ERDAS Imagine 9.1. Three land use/land cover (LULC) classes were established as Human settlement, forest and shrub land. Severe land cover changes was found to have occurred from 1987-2000, where human settlement increased by 52%, shrub land reduced by 19%, and forestry reduced by 72%. In the year 2000 – 2014, human settlement increased by 121%, shrub land reduced by 45%, and forestry reduced by 64%. Forestry and shrub land were found to be consistently reducing while human settlement was increasing. It was evident from the images that the LULC changes with corresponding soil quality deterioration mostly occurred in the upper and middle parts of the Middle river Njoro sub watershed which were initially under forest. To minimize the risk of vegetation destruction and soil degradation, it will be necessary to identify socioeconomic safety nets and initiate restoration of the environment to original pre-catastrophe status.
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Introduction

Settlement can be observed directly in the field or by remote sensing. Information collected on land use in form of settlement require the integration of natural and social scientific methods (expert knowledge, interviews with land managers) to determine which human activities resulting from settlements that are occurring in different parts of the landscape. As a result, scientific investigation of the causes and consequences of land use/land cover change (LULCC) requires an interdisciplinary approach integrating both natural and social scientific methods, which has emerged as the new discipline of land-change science. Land use /Land cover (LULC) is continuously changing in the Middle River Njoro ecosystem, thereby threatening sustainability and livelihood systems of the people. Human population increase is causing great pressure to the natural environment resulting in increasing conflict between different human activities and the need for biodiversity conservation. Settlements and other biodiversity modifications have resulted in deforestation, biodiversity loss, global warming and increase of natural disaster like flooding (Fan et al, 2007, Dwivedi, et al, 2005). Land use/land cover change in most or all cases is associated with environmental problems. Therefore, available data on LULC changes can provide critical input to decision-making of environmental management and planning the future (Fan, et al, 2010, Prenzel, 2004). The growing population and increasing socio-economic necessities has resulted in deforestation, biodiversity loss, global warming and increase of natural disaster like flooding (Fan et al, 2007, Dwivedi, et al, 2005). Land use/land cover change in most or all cases is associated with environmental problems. Therefore, available data on LULC changes can provide critical input to decision-making of environmental management and planning the future (Fan, et al, 2010, Prenzel, 2004). The growing population and increasing socio-economic necessities has resulted in deforestation, biodiversity loss, global warming and increase of natural disaster like flooding (Fan et al, 2007, Dwivedi, et al, 2005). Land use/land cover change in most or all cases is associated with environmental problems. Therefore, available data on LULC changes can provide critical input to decision-making of environmental management and planning the future (Fan, et al, 2010, Prenzel, 2004). The growing population and increasing socio-economic necessities has resulted in deforestation, biodiversity loss, global warming and increase of natural disaster like flooding (Fan et al, 2007, Dwivedi, et al, 2005). Land use/land cover change in most or all cases is associated with environmental problems. Therefore, available data on LULC changes can provide critical input to decision-making of environmental management and planning the future (Fan, et al, 2010, Prenzel, 2004). The growing population and increasing socio-economic necessities has resulted in deforestation, biodiversity loss, global warming and increase of natural disaster like flooding (Fan et al, 2007, Dwivedi, et al, 2005). Land use/land cover change in most or all cases is associated with environmental problems. Therefore, available data on LULC changes can provide critical input to decision-making of environmental management and planning the future (Fan, et al, 2010, Prenzel, 2004). The growing population and increasing socio-economic necessities has resulted in deforestation, biodiversity loss, global warming and increase of natural disaster like flooding (Fan et al, 2007, Dwivedi, et al, 2005). Land use/land cover change in most or all cases is associated with environmental problems. Therefore, available data on LULC changes can provide critical input to decision-making of environmental management and planning the future (Fan, et al, 2010, Prenzel, 2004). The growing population and increasing socio-economic necessities has resulted in deforestation, biodiversity loss, global warming and increase of natural disaster like flooding (Fan et al, 2007, Dwivedi, et al, 2005).
pollution and the production of waste or noise (Wood and van Halsema, 2008). As a result of pressures, the ‘state’ of the environment is affected; that is, the quality of the various natural resources (air, water, soil, etc.) in relation to the functions that these resources fulfill. The ‘state of the environment’ is thus the combination of the physical, chemical and biological conditions. The support of human and non-human life as well as the depletion of resources can serve as pertinent examples (Kristensen, 2004). Changes in the state may have an impact on human health, ecosystems, biodiversity, amenity value and financial value. Impact may be expressed in terms of the level of environmental harm and finally, the responses demonstrate the social efforts to solve the problems identified by the assessed impacts, e.g. policy measures, and planning actions (EEA, 1999; Giupponi, 2002; Kristensen, 2004; Wood and van Halsema, 2008). To date, DPSIR has been proved as a valuable tool that describes the relationships between the origins and consequences of environmental problems (Leka et al., 2005), it provides a significant fraction of the necessary environmental information (EUROSTAT, 1999), it facilitates decision making (Tscherning et al., 2012) and promotes the core essence of environmental sustainability (Reed et al., 2006). As a result, it has been applied in numerous research efforts including Water Resources Management of various scales as well as in a series of international and multidisciplinary research projects as the main analysis tool (Tscherning et al., 2012).

The middle River Njoro sub Watershed has been undergoing a new phase of rapid land use change to accommodate the increasing rural and urban human settlements. There is therefore need to understand how land use changes affected the environmental sustainability of the study area. This study was therefore aimed at establishing the impact of human settlement on land cover/land use change and its influence on land use decisions in the middle River Njoro sub watershed.

**Study Area**

Njoro town is located in Nakuru County on the eastern edge of the Mau Forest Complex, the largest single forest blocks in Kenya. The area lies between the forest and Lake Nakuru National Park, a world famous flamingo habitat. The greater Nakuru District is situated between 35° 28′ – 35° 36′ E longitude and 0° 13′ – 1°10′ S latitude. Most of the new settlers were originally pastoralists but are now practicing agro-pastoralists or keeping animals and practising crop farming. In addition to farming, they are using cleared forest areas for grazing livestock, mainly cattle, sheep and donkeys. Besides subsistence farming, these farmers also keep dairy animals and grow wheat as a cash crop. Smaller farms are interspersed with a few remaining large scale farms from the colonial era, including Egerton University’s Ngongogeri commercial farm. Urban centres in the middle zone include Njokerio which is around Egerton University Campus and Njoro Township.

The area of study covers about 8,170 Ha and lies between latitudes 0° 15´ S and 0° 25´ S and longitudes of 35° 50´ E and 36° 00´ E (Figure 1). The whole watershed has a population of about three hundred thousand (300,000) people with more than three thousand (3000) individual farm holding units (Baldyga, et al., 2003). However, according to Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, Njoro Sub County registered a population of 23,551 people having grown by 3% from a population of 22, 845 people in 1999 (KNBS, 2009). Based on the same growth rate, the watershed population may have also grown to 309, 000 people with may be 3100 households. Due to the heavy settlement in the middle watershed, it is estimated to be home to about 2000 farm holding units in an area of more than 8,000 hectares with slopes ranging from < 2 to > and soils that are predominantly volcanic clay loam except near the lake where silt clay dominates (Mainuri and Owino, 2013).

Figure 1: Middle River Njoro Watershed (Source: Mainuri and Owino, 2014)
3.0 Methods

A baseline survey at household-level encompassing socio-economic changes and impacts of land use activities in the middle River Njoro Watershed was established. Additionally, information on factors influencing land use decisions, productivity factors and change in economic activities were sought through use of a questionnaire. The middle River Njoro sub watershed household survey was to target an area of approximately 8000 hectares. Three Landsat scenes were selected (1987, 2000 and 2014) for this study. These dates captured the major excision and settlement changes that have taken place in the watershed. Effort was made to acquire imagery that corresponds with major land use/land cover changes within this period.

The study utilized 200 questionnaires which were administered to homesteads that were initially identified at random on both sides of the river. The questionnaires were subjected to scrutiny for completeness and consistency in question answering and the way they addressed the various issues intended to be captured. The questionnaires were sorted out and entered into the SPSS (version 20) work sheet. With the descriptive and categorical nature of most of the questions, simple descriptive analysis was done using SPSS and inferential statistics performed based on the results.

3.1 Land use field data

Data on the driving factors that influence land use decisions in the Middle River Njoro sub watershed drainage basin was gathered through semi-structured interviews with the farmers (land owners) and six (6) key informants selected at random based on their areas of operation including an agriculturist, environmentalist, social economist and NGOs in the region. Local group officials such as self-help groups, Friends of River Njoro and Water Resource Users Association (WRUA), were also interviewed concerning land use history and the perceived processes driving land use in the area.

3.2 Image classification

Landsat MSS and Landsat ETM+ (path 185, row 31) were used in this study. The Landsat ETM+ images (June 1987, May 2000 and July 2014) were downloaded from USGS Earth Resources Observation Systems data. The dates of both images were chosen to be as closely as possible in the same vegetation season. All visible and infrared bands were included in the analysis. Remote sensing image processing was performed using ERDAS Imagine 9.1. Five LULC classes were established as commercial farms, forest, settlement, subsistence farms, and shrub land. Three dated Landsat images (1987, 2000, and 2014) were compared using supervised classification technique. In the supervised classification technique, three images with different dates were independently classified. A Supervised classification method was carried out using training areas. Maximum Likelihood Algorithm was employed to detect the land cover types in ERDAS Imagine9.1.

3.3. Analysis of the Driving Forces of Land Use using DPSIR model

The DPSIR conceptual framework is a causal chain consisting of five elements; Drivers (human needs), Pressures (human activities), State (the ecosystem), Impact (services) and Response (decisions) which was used as a means to organize the many social, economic and ecological interactions in the sub watershed. Assessment of driving forces behind land use/land cover change (LULCC) was done to capture past patterns and also be able to forecast future patterns. Driving forces on LULCC captured in the survey included most of the factors that influenced human activity, including population increase, poverty, land tenure and markets. Also other underlying factors like local culture, food preference were found to influence the decisions made. Economics or the demand for specific products and financial incentives were also reported to greatly influence the pattern of production. Environmental conditions like soil quality, terrain, moisture availability, land policy and development programs such as agricultural programs, road building, zoning and feedbacks between these factors which included past human activity on the land such as land degradation, irrigation and roads played a major role in the decisions that people made.

4.0. Results

It was observed from the survey that 50 percent of the respondents had obtained up to primary education, while 20% percent had not obtained any formal education. A lower proportion (33%) had obtained secondary and post secondary level of education. 70 percent of the respondents had primary level education and below. The finding indicates that most of the respondents in the middle River Njoro sub watershed had low formal education and this affected the way in which they responded to new information on resource conservation and how they also received innovative ideas. The respondents were interviewed on the changes in natural vegetation and human settlement. 73 % of the respondents agree that human
settlement has been increasing while 27% of them feel that human settlement has not been significant. 93% of the watershed inhabitants have observed massive land use changes taking place with 7% not feeling that there has been any noticeable change in land use. This possibly could be that they have recently settled in the area and since they settled there has been no change. Climate change impacts resulting from human settlement have been felt by 31% of the people with a bigger population of 69% having not experienced any effects of climate change. The pressures exerted by the society through waste disposal, over cultivation and deforestation may have led to unintentional or intentional changes in the state of the ecosystem. However, only 4% of the respondents had observed any pollution or degradation of the ecosystem with a huge population of 96% not feeling or not being aware of the impacts possibly because they had recently purchased the land.

It emerged from the study findings that the biggest proportion (60%) of the land was bought by the current owners. A number of respondents had inherited the land from their fore parents comprising about 20% of the total. There were also cases of people (15%) allocated land by the government while the remaining 5% had acquired their pieces of land through buying shares in cooperative societies (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Land tenure

The study also established that most of the land was under cultivation when the current owners acquired as portrayed by 31.7% of the responses. This was closely followed by grass cover which formed 26.6% of the total responses. A significant 19% reported that the land area was under indigenous trees when they initially moved in, while a 15.4% response exhibited presence of exotic trees. However, only 7.3% of the total responses reported the presence of soil and water conservation structures on the land during initial settlement period (Table 1).

Table 1: Nature/ state and extent of Land cover during acquisition by current owners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use/ Cover</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent of Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presence of soil and water conservation structures</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under cropping</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under grass cover</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under indigenous trees</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under exotic trees</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>178.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An interview was carried out on some key informants concerning the land use activities that have been observed over the period of study (Table 2). The respondents reported that the main environmental impacts were indicated by a general
increase in agricultural activities on riparian zones. This has emanated from pressures exerted by the increase in the number of people settling along the river Njoro. The main economic activity creating impacts to the ecosystem that was reported by these people was usually farming by the many people settling in the sub watershed which has resulted in the reduction of natural vegetation. However, the state of the ecosystem has remained a bit stable despite the heavy human settlement due to agro forestry and scattered natural vegetation that has contributed to the forest which is thriving in some parts of the ecosystem. The impacts of human settlement had altered the state of the ecosystem with most farms being seriously affected by soil erosion as most farmers were not observing any conservation measures. Hence, soil erosion was found to be notably rampant in Lare and Nessuit areas which have higher slopes with 70% of the respondents reporting severe erosion in the steeper slopes, 20% reported severe erosion on gentle slopes and 10% on flat grounds, while 20% of the people reported moderate erosion on steep areas, 69% reported moderate erosion on gentle slopes and 11% on flat grounds. 80% of the respondents reported no erosion on gentle slopes and 20% reporting no erosion on flat areas. Nobody gave any evidence of no erosion on steep slopes (Table 2).

Table 2: Level of soil Erosion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slope of the land</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>No Erosion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steep</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentle</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a result of no proper land ownership, most people are shy to invest in long term development activities and majorities are sluggish or unable apply any resource conservation measures. Driving forces on land use and conservation of natural vegetation included most of the factors that influenced human activity that exerted pressure on the ecosystem, including population increase, poverty, land tenure and markets. Underlying factors that drive actions like food preference demand for specific products, financial incentives and environmental state indicators such as soil quality, terrain and moisture availability played a great role in altering the land cover of the area. Increasing land use/cover changes were observed in the middle river Njoro watershed ecosystem which had more settlements over the last twenty seven (27) years. These changes resulted from a number of factors, but mainly related to habitat loss due to agricultural encroachment and human settlement. Information about changing patterns of land use/cover through time and the factors influencing such changes have been captured in the change detection maps of 1987, 2000 and 2014 and the results summarized in Table 4. The Long et al., (2010) formula computed the rate of change within the three periods (T1, T2, and T3).

\[ \Delta = \left( \frac{A_2 - A_1}{A_1} \times 100 \right) \div (T_2 - T_1) \]

Where:
- \( \Delta \) = Average annual rate of change (%)
- \( A_1 \) = Amount of land cover type in time 1 (T1, 1987)
- \( A_2 \) = Amount of land cover type in time 2 (T2, 2000)
- \( A_3 \) = Amount of land cover type in time 3 (T3, 2014)

Table 3: Change detection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class Type</th>
<th>1987 Area Hectares T1</th>
<th>2000 Hectares T2</th>
<th>2014 Hectares T3</th>
<th>( \Delta Ha ) 1987-2000 T1-T2</th>
<th>( \Delta Ha ) 2000-2014 T2-T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation Type</th>
<th>1987</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>1460.898</td>
<td>405.351</td>
<td>145.712</td>
<td>-72%, -64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>437.403</td>
<td>664.109</td>
<td>1470.364</td>
<td>+52%, +121%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub land</td>
<td>849.281</td>
<td>687.820</td>
<td>373.150</td>
<td>-19%, -45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the study, it is evident that natural vegetation which was indicated by forest and shrub land (Table 5) has reduced over the period the respondents have resided in the area. The result from image processing and analysis for the years 1987, 2000 and 2014 portrays a general reduction due to settlements in both forests and shrub lands within the study area Figure 3 (a,b,c).

**Figure 3: Reduction of Forests and Shrub Lands over the period of study.**
We can therefore say that deforestation has been witnessed in the study area for the last two decades due to land use patterns. These patterns resulting from cultivation and human settlement stood out to be the major driving forces that have led to the reduction in natural vegetation cover in these areas, each constituting 33% and 30.9% of the total responses respectively. Other activities included charcoal burning, infrastructural development, and grazing and commercial timber production resulting from the growing population. The population has for the last two decades been growing. Owing to this, respondents' feedback shows that a significant increase in human settlement has been witnessed in the areas covered by the study. An assessment of the values obtained from image analysis of the area, show that there has been an almost four times increase (from about 437 ha in 1987 to 1500 ha in 2014) in the human settlements Figure 4 (a,b,c).

![Figure 4: Human Settlement Variation in the Study for the Period 1987 to 2014](image)

One of the most fundamental and characteristic nature of people is the movement from place to place which most of the time results in change of residence. This phenomenon, otherwise referred to as migration, has played a vital role in elevating the number of people who have settled in the area for the past twenty or more years. Migration was a factor which explained why human settlements in these areas have grown over time greatly reducing the available size of land for each family. It is evident from the responses that the largest piece of land was 15 acres while the minimum land size was 0.125 acres giving a range value of 14.875 acres (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land size</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>14.875</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>3.07661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration the owner has lived on the land</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>16.817</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On average, each respondent owned about 3.08 Acres of land. We had both long term occupants of the land with a period of about 66 years and some quite new occupants who had settled for about 1 year. This gave a range of 65 years which is vital in explaining the changes in the land use/cover that has been witnessed in this area of study.

5.0 Conclusions and Recommendation

The factors driving land use decisions in the middle River Njoro watershed include human settlement and economic developments in the watershed community, and the corresponding changes in lifestyles, overall levels of consumption and production patterns. These drivers have exerted pressure to the ecosystem in form of waste disposal, over cultivation,
overgrazing and deforestation. These pressures have caused negative changes to the ecosystem which have caused heavy impacts mainly through removal of natural vegetation.

The removal of natural vegetation in the middle River Njoro sub watershed has resulted in the decreasing of the forest area by 1314 hectares and shrub land by 475 hectares with settlement increasing by 1032 hectares.

Land use/land cover changes mostly occurred in the upper parts of Middle river Njoro sub watershed with higher slopes and the middle and lower parts which have gentle and nearly flat slopes experiencing low or no change at all.

The integration of remote sensing and GIS was found to be effective in monitoring and analyzing land cover patterns and also in evaluating the influence of human settlement on land use change for future land development projects by the residents of study areas.

The residents are therefore recommended to develop responses to rehabilitate the degraded environment through soil and water conservation, reducing land use/land cover change (LULCC), choice of crops and crop rotation in order to mitigate the negative outcomes of the ecosystem changes.
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